FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

FOR PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS OF THE

CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION (CBSC)
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, BUREAU OF HOME FURNISHINGS (CA-BHF)
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES (DHS)

REGARDING THE CALIFORNIA REFERENCED STANDARDS CODE CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 12

CBSC -- CHAPTER 12-1—ADMINISTRATION, 1998 EDITION
DHS -- CHAPTER 12-4A - LABORATORY ANIMAL QUARTERS STANDARDS, 1998 EDITION
CA-BHF -- CHAPTER 12-13 - STANDARDS FOR INSULATING MATERIAL, 1998 EDITION
DHS -- CHAPTER 12-31C - RADIATION SHIELDING STANDARDS

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

The CBSC finds that no revisions have been made which would warrant a change to the initial statement of reasons for CBSC – Chapter 12-1, Administration, 1998 Edition; DHS – Chapter 12-4A, Laboratory Animal Quarters Standards, 1998 Edition; CA-BHF – Chapter 12-13, Standards for Insulating Material, 1998 Edition; and DHS – Chapter 12-31C, Radiation Shielding Standards, 1998 Edition, of Title 24, Part 12, California Referenced Standards Code.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The CBSC has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).

The CBSC did not receive any objections or recommendations regarding the proposed regulations.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

The CBSC has determined that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

There were no proposed alternatives. The CBSC has determined that the proposed regulations will have no adverse impact on small businesses.

<u>COMMENTS MADE BY THE OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS ADVOCATE</u> The CBSC received no comments from this office.

COMMENTS MADE BY THE TRADE AND COMMERCE AGENCY

The CBSC received no comments from this agency.